Muz 34|

# MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

---

**1906-07**

**File No. 490**

---

SUBJECT.

Staff Quarters

---

Staff at Srirangam

(Leasing lands + staff buildings)

Muz: 8 A]

Muzrai Secretariat | File No. of 190

2 The other terms of the new lease are that the Lessee should pay the annual municipal taxes and that should the Sri Swamigalavarn visit Srirangam the building must be made available for his temporary residence.

3 The Govt Agent has settled the account of rent payable to Ramachar and Srinivasachar the former renters of the Mutt building from the statements submitted it is observed that a sum of Rs 15.10.0 due from Ramachar has been recovered and his former deposit amount of Rs 125 has been transferred to the new lease, as already stated and that a sum of Rs 49.5.5 has been paid to Srinivasachar out of the Security Deposit of Rs 100 lodged by him having given credit to him for the interest and municipal tax and debited rent remained in arrears for 6 years and 2 months after the close of each year.

File No. of 190 | Muzrai Secretariat

4. In the result the new lease fetches to the Matt clearly Rs 50.4.0 per year (without liability to pay interest on Security Deposit or to pay municipal taxes) more than what was being realized yearly after paying interest on deposit and municipal taxes under the old lease arrangement

5 Srinivasachar & Krishnamurthi achar brothers of the new lessee Ramachar who were asserting a claim for perpetual residence in the Matt building on the score of long occupation have become under-tenants of his brothers & one of them Krishnamurthi achar who leased his claim to reside in the Matt building in return for worshiping Matt vigrahas has surrendered them to new lessee Ramachar & thus foregone his claim for worshiping the

GPB—3,000—25 9-07

Muzrai Secretariat | File No. of 190

Vijayadas and for presiding in the matt Baitaup.

Submitted
24/4/08

(Slip 6)

The Swamigalu of the Sri Vyasa rajaswamy matt wentes to say that a representation was made to him on the subject from his disciples at Srirangam, near Trichinopoly, and requests that wall etc which are a source of obstruction to the devotees circumambulating the temple of Sri Gopalakrishnaswamy in his matt at Srirangam may be removed as also the small room adjoining the well therein which prevents people from taking a bath near the well and that the matha Adhikari there should be given accommodation in the matt and permitted to do puje and other services to the Sri Gopalakrishna Devaru

A.V. 7/4/08 Submitted 4/

File No. of 190 | Muzrai Secretariat

GPB—3,000—25-9-07

1/3

4381-A 27.3.07 Murrai

The accompanying petn
Regd. No 3904, dated 26th Feb.y
907, received from Magilamuthu
Ambalakkaran, residing in
Jebhakulam Street, Srirangam,
Trichinopoly District, praying
to be informed if the lands
~~disclosed~~ belonging to the
Sri Vyasarayaswami Matt
are to be leased out, is for-
warded to the Govt Agent, Sri
Vyasarayaswami Matt, for
~~disposal~~ necessary action

By Order,

5/3/07
Secretary

28/2/07

2 Dfl

Draft for approval

Murzai

2/2/07 1/3

4382 Dfl 2-2-07

Endorsement from ~~Dfl~~ to Magilamuttu Ambalakkaran residing in Jeppakolam Street, Srirangam, Trichinopoly Dt., with reference this petn. Regd. No. 3904, dated 16th Feb. 1907, praying to be informed whether the lands of the Sri Vyasarayaswami Matt are to be leased out.

---

In reply, the petitioner is informed that ~~vide the Regd. Vide~~ the information asked for may be obtained from Mr. M. Raghavendra Rao, Mysore Govt. Agent, Sri Vyasarayaswami Matt, who ~~has been entrusted with the local management of the lands in question~~ the petition has been forwarded.

By Order,

Dfl,

5/2/07

Secretary,

28/2/07

Dft.
approval
2 Dfts
draft for approval

Muz. 3]

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA
OF MYSORE.

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No. 5476, Encl...

The accompanying Petition No. 4873,
dated 3rd April 07, received at this
Office from Ramaghavan, lessee of
the Sri Vyasaroya matt building situated
at Srirangam, Trichinopoly District, praying
for the repayment of the sum of Rs 125/- deposited
by him with the Swami of the Matt, and
soliciting orders as to whom the lease
amount due by him may be paid,
is forwarded, ~~together with its~~ together with its one enclosure
~~enclosures,~~ to the Govt Agent,
Sri Vyasaroyaswami matt, for
~~disposal~~. needful action

By Order,

BANGALORE,
20.5.1907

*Secretary,*
*Muzrai Department.*

No 5476
Enclosure
Ps
30/4/07

2 Sft.

Draft for approval

Murzai

No. 5477 W/20.5.07

Endorsement granted to Ramaphariar, son of Sethuraphara, East Street, Srirangam, Trichinopoly Taluk, with refce to his petn Regd. No. 4873, dated 3rd April 1907, praying for the repayment of the sum of Rs 125/- deposited by him with the Swamigals of the Sri Vyasarayaswami Matt, in connection with the ~~Lease~~ Grant of the Matt buildings ~~at Srirangam~~ at Srirangam to him on lease, and soliciting orders as to whom the lease amount due by him maybe paid

---

In reply, the petr is informed that his petn has been forwarded to Mr. M. Raghavendra Rao, ~~Govt~~ Mysore Govt Agent, Sri Vyasarayaswami Matt, for disposal.

By order,

17/5/07

Secretary

24/10.07

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT 1907 28 OCT

No 20

Please read
but up

Kinds
copy as
requested
25/10/07
by

Office of the Mysore Government Agent
for Sri Vyasarayaswami Mutt
Camp Tinnavelly Bridge 21st oct
07

To

The Secretary to the Government
of H H the Maharaja of Mysore
in the Mysore department
Bangalore.

Report submitted by M Raghavendra Rao
the Mysore Government Agent for Sri
Vyasarayaswami Mutt

Adverting to para 6 of his report no 75
dated 9th June 1907, the Government Agent
respectfully states that during his last
visit to Sosale the Sri Swamigalavaru
with a Srimukha addressed to the Mysore
Secretary forwarded copies of certain Firmans
withdrawing certain leases issued direct
by the Mutt to Ramachar and others which
Srimukham was delivered in person by
the Government Agent to the Mysore
Secretary. The Government Agent respect-
fully requests the favor of being furnished

with a copy of the above Srimukham
enclosures to enable him to take necessary
action in respect of leasing out those
properties

M. Raghavendra Rao
Mysore Government Agent
for Sri Vyasaraya Swami Mutt

# MYSORE MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.




No. 41

OFFICE OF THE MYSORE GOVERNMENT AGENT
FOR SRI VYASARAYASWAMI MATT

DATED Bangalore 6th March 1908.

To

THE SECRETARY
TO THE GOVERNMENT OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE
IN THE MUZRAI DEPARTMENT
BANGALORE.

Report submitted by M. Raghavendra Rao, the Mysore Government Agent for Sri Vyasarayaswami Matt.

Subject:— leasing out Sri Vyasaraya Swami Matt building in East Colathara Vidhi in Srirangam town, Trichinopoly Dist.

Sir,

I respectfully beg to request that you will be so good as to order the return to me of drafts of notice in tamil & English and lease deed and Jodaradai in tamil which I submitted for approval with my letter No 3735 dated 18th December 1908.

I beg to remain
Sir
Your most obedient Servant
M. Raghavendra Rao
Mysore Government Agent
for Sri Vyasaraya Swami Mt.

VV
7/2/08
VV

Submitted in Continuation
The file is with the Secretary




V.o — XX Reply
HV. Please continue
note 24 below

Muz.3]

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA
OF MYSORE.

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No. 4310. Encl

The accompanying Petition No. 3802
dated 18th March 1908 received at this
Office from Pattabhiramachar
Srirangam stating that the
Govt. Agent has leased out the
Matt lands situated at the
place for a small amount &
praying that the same may

~~is forwarded, together with its~~
~~enclosures, to the~~ be leased out to
him for a higher amount of
Gutta, is forwarded to the
of the Sri Vyasaraya Matt
Govt. Agent for report.

By Order,

Secretary,
Muzrai Department

BANGALORE,
31/3 1908

25/3/08

C.P.—1500—20 10 07

Muz.3]

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA
OF MYSORE.

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No. 4316. Eno 809

The accompanying Petition No. 3818
dated 19th March 1908 received at this
Office from Sreenivasachar Son
of Sethuvachar of Srirangam
praying for the payment of the
balance of Rs 13-11-7 due to him
out of the deposited amount of
Rs 100/- for having taken up the

is ~~forwarded, together with its~~
~~enclosures, to the~~ lease of Vyasa-
rayaswami Matt building
at Srirangam, is forwarded
herewith with enclosure
to the Govt. Agent for ~~en-~~
~~quiry & report~~. of the Sri
Vyasarayaswami Matt for
enquiry & report.

By Order,

Secretary,
Muzrai Department.

BANGALORE,
31/3 190.

GP —1500—20 Iu 07

# MYSORE MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

GOVERNMENT
4189
15/4/08

No. 48
Encs 2

OFFICE OF THE MYSORE GOVERNMENT AGENT
FOR SRI VYASARAYASWAMI MATT

DATED Camp Hoopal 12th April 1908.

Rec'd & pinch
up reps &
Submit. for order

16/4/08

To

THE SECRETARY
TO THE GOVERNMENT OF H H THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE
IN THE MUZRAI DEPARTMENT
BANGALORE.

Report submitted by M. Raghavendra Rao, the Mysore Government Agent for Sri Vyasarayaswami Matt.

Reference :— order C 316 dated 31st March 1908

Subject :— Petition Regd no 3818/1908 submitted by Srinivasacha. praying a balance of Rs 13.11-- out of the deposit amount of Rs 100-0-0

Sir,

I have the honour to submit herewith the petition and to state that in regard to the settlement of accounts between the petitioner and the deposit referred to in para 3 of the order dated 1st March 1908.

2 Sri Srinivasacha the petitioner for the allotment of the Kadu Oblattara field in the village of Hosahalli Magha Balula 1 Kedara 6 [illegible]

3 In settling the account I calculated the seed [illegible]

remainder against the
2 nd the deposit amount at the end of
year by the amount fell due from them
to the matt after the close of that year In the
same way debits were made against the deposit
amount until the date of Settlement on which
date a balance of R. 49.6.5 remained to the
credit of Srinivasachar which sum was duly
paid to him

His contention now is that by adopting
the above method of calculation the amount
of rent payable by him to the matt as well as
the amount of deposit respectively stood
charged with interest. He consequently desires,
that the Settlement of account should be made
only once for the whole period of 6 years and
2 months, and deducted from the aggregate
amount of rent the aggregate of the municipal
tax and interest due to him on deposit, the
remainder should be ordered to be paid to him.
He states that under this calculation a further
sum of R. 13.10.7 becomes due to him.

3. In the Settlement of Srinivasachar account although
a calculation favourable to the matt has been adopted
by me, Kharbhari Subbiramasimhachar the other
day, found fault with me in your presence
to the effect that I have been too liberal
in discharging the deposit debt of Srini-
vasachar If I had adopted the calculation
suggested by the petitioner my action would then have
been subjected to a much more severe criticism.

I beg to remain,
Sir
your most obedient servant
M Ramachandra Rao
Assistant Agent
for Srimat Vijayaraya Swamy Matt

Settlement rent of Sri. building by Srinivasa
Srirangam East Uttra Street

Deposit lodged with the matt as per Acknp date (ಅಡವು ಇಟ್ಟ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಮಠದವರು ಕೊಟ್ಟ ಪಾವತಿ) Vikaru Magha
Bahula Yakadasi _____ 140

Deteet-

Amount returned to the matt on (ಮಠದವರಿಗೆ ಹಿಂತಿರುಗಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟ ಬಗ್ಗೆ) after Settling the rent upto that date 40 . .
Balance of deposit Rs 100 . .

From (ಮೊದಲಿನಿಂದ ಹಿಂತಿರುಗಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟ ಬಗ್ಗೆ) Plava Magha
Sera Bahula Yakadasi to Plavanga Magha
Bahula Yakadasi (ಮೊದಲಿನಿಂದ ಹಿಂತಿರುಗಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟ ಬಗ್ಗೆ)
= 6 years and 2 months.

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Rent for the 1st year | 20 . . | | |
| Interest @ 12% per annum for 1 year on Rs 100 | 12 . . | | |
| Municipal tax for 1 year | 2 . | 14 | 6 |
| Balance of deposit | | | 94 |
| Rent for the 2nd year | 20 | | |
| Interest as above on 94 Rs | 11 4 6 | | |
| Municipal tax | 2 . . | 13 4 6 | 6 11 |
| Balance of deposit | | | 87 4 |
| Rent for the 3rd year | 20 . . | | |
| Interest as above on 87-4-6 | 10 7 6 | | |
| Municipal tax | 2 | 12 7 6 | 7 8 |
| Balance of deposit | | | 79 12 |
| Rent for the 4th year | 20 . . | | |
| Interest as above on 79-12-0 | 9 9 | | |
| Municipal tax | 2 | 11 9 0 | 8 7 |
| Balance of deposit | | | 79 5 |
| Rent for the 5th year | 20 . . | | |
| Interest on above on 71-5-0 | 8 9 3 | | |
| Municipal tax | 2 | 10 9 3 | 9 6 9 |
| Balance of deposit | | | 61-14-3 |
| Rent for the 6th year | 20 . . | | |
| Interest on above 61-14-3 | 7 5 3 | | |
| Municipal tax | 2 . . | 9 6 9 | 10 9 |
| Balance of deposit | | | 51 5 |

Municl

Balance

Camp Srirangam
28th February 1908

Signed M. Raghavendra Rao
Mysore Government Agent
for Sri Yajaraja Swami

Issue copy forwarded to Srinivasachar
Sons of Sethuvarachar for information.

1070
Camp Srirangam
29/2/08

M. Raghavendra Rao
Mysore Govt Agent 29/2/08
for Sri Yajaraja Swami
Mail

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
3818
19-3-08
MUZRAI SECRT

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]

1. [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

2. [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

6 மாதம் உடு குத்தகை வரவேண்டிய
நிலுவை எஞ்சிய தொகையை
தரவேண்டி 37.00 மே நிலுவையாகி
ரூ 63.00 வழங்கவேண்டிய தொகை
கிடைக்கும்.

1/2 பங்கு பாகம் எஞ்சியதை
சேர்ந்தவர்கள் ஒப்பந்தப்படி ரூ 100 ல் எதி
ர்பார்த்த நிலுவை தொகையாக வரவேண்
டிய தொகை ரூ 49.50 ஆக குத்தகை
பாக்கி தொகை ரூ 49.5 ஆக குத்தகை குறை
கிறது.

4. நிலுவை பாக்கி குத்தகை வரவேண்டி
யது ரூ 37 நிலுவையாகி ரூ
63.00 எஞ்சிய தொகை ரூ 49-50 ஆக
குத்தகை பாக்கி ரூ 13-11-7 ஆகும்
பாகம் பிரிக்கும் போது பட்டாவில்
வரவேண்டிய குத்தகை பாக்கி
நிலுவை இல்லாமல் ரூ கிடைக்கும்.

29-2-1968 - [illegible]

# MYSORE MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.




OFFICE OF THE MYSORE GOVERNMENT AGENT
FOR SRI VYASARAYASWAMI MATT.

DATED, Conjeeveram 12th April 1908.

Rec'd & submitted with P.P. to order Mysore

*To*

THE SECRETARY
TO THE GOVERNMENT OF H. H THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE
IN THE MUZRAI DEPARTMENT
BAGNALORE.

Report submitted by M. Raghavendra Rao, the Mysore Government Agent for Sri Vyasarayaswami Matt.

Reference :— Order no 4310 dated 31st March 1908

Subject :— Pattabi ramachari petition Regd no 3802 18-3-08 Taking objection to the lease of site a Sannidhi in Kalattara Veedhi at Srirangam lease given to Ramaiah.

Sir,

I respectfully beg to submit herewith the above petition and the following report thereon.

2 The allegations contained in the petition are:

I That the Muzrai Agent has leased out Keela Kalattara Veedhi existing at Srirangam to one Ramachar for rental purposes ignoring the claims of the petitioner and to the general public in the locality.

Lessee would block up the course of Pradak-
-to Sri Gopalakrish a swamy, thereby preventing
them from making the Pradakshina

III That as the result of this lease with Rama
chan the mariyadees shown to him in the
Sri Ranganathaswamy temple as Mathadhi-
Kari and by the disciples of the matt will
go down.

IV That if the Hon'ble Secretary were to view
the matter in the light that for the above
reasons the above lease were cancelled
there would be a pecuniary loss to the
matt the petitioner is prepared to
pay a sum of Rs 10 over and above the
rent realized from Ramachar of the lease
were granted to him

That the petitioner respectfully prays
that his representation may be duly
considered and such justice order
passed thereon as would while guarding
against the pecuniary loss to the matt
also not interfere with the enjoyment
of the privileges by the matt.
And that the Govt Agent took no notice
of the Swamy granting by the former
Grievance ruling that Mathadhi
Karies should reside in the matt premises
and coach the matt mariyadees from

these Nrimps were shown to him personally by the petitioner.

3 The above allegations are dealt with by in seriatum in explaining them.

Allegation I I fully expected that the petitioner and others who are Dayadees of Ramachar, through malice towards the latter would cause trouble and take many frevolous objections to some of my arrangements for Ramachar. It had therefore been proposed in my report no 24 dt 14th December 1907 that the leasing of the building should be publicly advertized for although such a measure in respect of religious buildings would be objectionable in various ways but also submitted to you for approval the draft forms of proposed advertizements to which you personally discussed this matter with me at Ootacamund where you were pleased to carefully consider the pros and cons of the measure of publicly inviting Tenders to take out religious buildings for rent and finally came to the conclusion that it is not right to do so in as much as the affair would be an awkward position if a non-brahman or even a brahman of other sects would get higher than those of the sectarians to whom the said buildings belong. Accordingly I was ordered in your no 3735 dt 18th February 1908 to rent out the building on favourable terms to the said Ramachar to whom the Government had given a Nrimp asking him to worship the said

c 1908, he was
for three years for an annual rental of Rs 75.
By this arrangement there has been an increase
of Rs 50-4-0 per annum to the mutt whether this
arrangement is profitable or not to the mutt
is clear from the fact that the petitioner who
has opposed the measure strongly can only offer
a trifling sum of Rs 10 over and above what
Ramachar took, pay to the mutt as rent. He says
he make the offer of this increase to make it appear
that it is higher than the rent fixed for Ramachar
so that the building may be taken out of his hands
on this plausable plea if a greater profit to the
mutt. This Ramachar is no other than the very man
to whom the present Swamigalavaru had leased
out the mutt building and he is not a man whom
I have newly brought in.

Allegation II. The course of Prahlad via around
the room where Sri Gopalakrishna Swami Vigraha
kept is also blocked up many year ago by
Ramachar when he was a renter of the building
under the former Swamigalavaru. There is
no more course to block up now after taking the
house from me. The petitioner and his
supporters if by him then took no steps to
remove the blocked up course or if they had
taken they did not succeed in removing the same
The blocking up had long been an accomplished

ening as a tenee

the renewal of lease by me to Ramachar

Allegation III Ramachar has only been per-
mitted by me to continue to be a lessee under
the new arrangement. He had been in posses-
sion of the matt building as a renter for many
years prior to the new lease especially the por-
tion in which the Sri Gopalakrishna Swami
Vigraha is located. I am at a loss to understand
how the matt mariyadees shown to Pattabhira
machar at the Sri Ranganatha swami temple
and those shown by the disciples will affect
simply by my giving a continuance to the
lease of Ramachar which has long been in
existance

Allegations IV & V. call for no remarks from me.

Allegation VI. The Petitioner never showed me
any Nirups granted to him by the mataddhika
ties ruling that mataddhikarees should reside in
the matt premises and enact matt mariyadas
from the disciples. Even the petitioner holds
an order to this effect he has failed to
exercise the same, for ever since he became
the mataddhikari of Srirangam he has never
resided in this building, and Ramaiya is
all along been its renter. I cannot under-
stand why he did not assert his right under
the Nirups to reside in the matt building

building under the Swamigalavaru
a long time prior to his getting a confirmance
of the lease from me.

4 The cause of this complaint from the petitioner is that he is a Dayadi of Ramachar the latter is a well to do man and lives respectably the Petitioner and other Dayades of his being unable to bear Ramachar's prosperity have always been picking up quarrels with him.

5 These people were the Cooi in the hands of the late Paleyan Rangachar and are so now in those of his nephew Krishnamurthiachar.

Paleyan Rangachar when alive took objection to Ramachar's paying the rent of the mall building to me and submitting to my management of the mall properties alone and strongly advised him to discontinue the Government management of the mall properties and invited him to join with him to disregard my right to take possession of mall properties at Srirangam and strongly advised him to discontinue the Govt management of the mall properties and invited him to join with him to disregard my right to take possession of mall properties. Ramachar totally refused to do so.

7. The Petitioner is not a man of substance

quarrelsome. He has no money
non to lodge security deposit were he
to take the lease of the building. He has to get
it from the Paleyan people and therefore sings
to their tune. Were we to accept a tender
for the lease of the building on the bail of a
higher rental we would be indirectly entering
into a lease with Krishnamurthachar.
you will be pleased to observe from circumstances reported elsewhere in connection
with the mutt properties held possession of
by Paleyan people, how difficult it would
be for us to recover possession when once a
property goes into their hands.

8 The petitioners statement that the
mutt disciples take objection for so many
things, are mentioned by Swamiji to make
his case appear strong. In reality the disciples take no interest of the internal affairs
of the mutt but on the other hand I heard
while at Srirangam that the petitioner
has been begging the disciples to sign a
mahazar to the effect that the Srigurumaja
Swamy of the mutt has no right to hand
over the management of the mutt properties
to the Mysore Government as he has done
without the consent of all the disciples of the
mutt.

9 In the affairs of the mutt many instances
have come to my notice that parti-

and in reading
grance against--1 enemies innocent intended
exhibition of keen interest in the welfare of
the matt. Hence the cause for complaint of
this kind.

10 While I was meeting the allegations
in person when they were being personally
represented to you by the petitioner, Subba
narasimhachar the Karbhari of the matt.
(it was regretable to observe that
sided with the petitioner though he knew
that the allegations made by him were
opposed to the action which the matt itself
had taken and detrimental to the interests
of the matt.

I beg to remain
Sir,
your most obedient servant
M. Raghavendrachar
Mysore Government Agent
for Sri Vijayarayaswamy Matt

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT
802
1983









Muz. 8A]

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

while the Petitioner is not a man of substance
A feeling of envy towards his daya[illegible] appears
to be the motive of this complaint.
The descendants of the Matt do not interfere
with the affairs of the [illegible]. To serve his own
purpose the Petitioner [illegible] begging them
to sign a mahazar to the effect that
the Sri Sangipalayam has no right to
make over the management of the
properties of the Mysore [illegible], he is
attempting to do this [illegible] to help Periyan
Kunga[illegible] of Nesapalayam [illegible] enable him to
[illegible] continue in possession of the
Matt property now held by him.

7 The Govt Agent expresses his regret that
Karabhan supports such frivolous complaints
and encourages devotees which are detri-
mental to the interests of the Devasthanam

File No.  of 190  Muzrai Secretariat.

Muz. 8A]

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

Note continued

A Petition Regd no 3802 18-3-08 was presented to this Office by Pattabhi Ramachar the Matadhikarasthar of Sri Vyaasaraja Swami Mutt at Srirangam taking objection to the entering out the name of Ramachar. The Petition dated had been sent to the Government Agent for report His report No /-/, dated 12th April 1908 contains ample explanation for the allegations made by the Petitioner. The Head Sheristadar heard the Petitioner in person in the presence of the Government Agent who satisfactorily explained all the allegations made by the Petitioner

2 The gist of Pattabhiramachar's Complaint is that the Government Agent did not have due knowledge of his intention, at the time of this transaction, that he wanted to omit

File No. of 190 | Muzrai Secretariat.

Ramachar who, it is feared by the Mutt
devotees would like up the prodakshina
course around the room, in which
the Sphatika Linga is. Swami Vigraha is
located, that the Mariyadees shewn to the
Mutt is Sri Ringaua a Swami temple
and by the devotees will suffer in conse-
quence if Ramachar becoming the
trustee of the building and that the Govt
might took no notice of the Mutt's granted
by the previous Kattalaipattees pointing
that the Mutt Adhikaree should always
reside in the Mutt building although
the above Mutts were said to run by
the Petitioner.

3 The point of inviting tenders
publicly for renting out religious buildings
since as this was case, considered by the
Muzrai Dept and was found to be
unsuitable as it is attended with the

Muz. 8A

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

danger of non brahmins and brahmins of other sects bidding higher than those of the particular sect of brahmins who belong to the matt and to whom the building do not use rented with propriety

4. Ramaiah had been in possession of the building in the capacity of renter for a long time under the former Swamigalavaru. Even the present Swamigalavaru had granted a lease to him to continue as renter. The present Agent passed the same and rent and Ramaiah to continue as renter of the whole building.

5 The blocking up the way to the room in which the "Upendra" master Vigraha is located had been done. Ramachar managers son, he was renter of the building under the Swamigalavaru. The Shadakshara

File No. of 190 | Muzrai Secretariat.

not now going to be newly blocked up after the execution by the Government Agent of the new lease to Ramachar

5 The Govt Agent denied ~~that~~ Patta-bhiramachar having shewn to him the Nirupa of the former Swamigalavaru whereby they authorised Puttabhi Achar to receive in the Matt, but the immateriality of this point is clear from the very fact that although Puttabhiramachar has been holding the Office of Mutaddika from a long time he never resided in the building and Ramachar has all along been the resident of the building - but the petitioner never ever lived & resided therein ... the premises.

The Government Agent from local knowledge reports that the petitioner is a Dasayalee of the ... The latter is a well to do man and behaves himself respectably

RNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

No 1011

Dated 3 9 1908

ENDORSEMENT granted to Srinivasachar son of Sethu-Vachar residing in Vyasarayaswami Matt, Uttara street, Srirangam

in reply to his Petition Registered No. 3818 dated 19th March 1908 praying for the payment of a sum of Rs 13-11-7 on account of refund of in Settlement of security deposit ~~paid by him~~ lodged by him with the Sri Vyasarayaswami Matt

Petitioner is informed that the Settlement made by the Govt. Agent is correct and the Govt. See no reason to ~~Comply with the~~ re-consider the request of the Petitioner.

No 1012

Copy to the Govt. Agent for information with reference to his Proc no 48 dy 3/9/08. By order

By Order,

Secretary, Muzrai Department.

DPB—1,000—20-9-07